Sculpture: Wilhelm Lehmbruck, Aristide Maillol

Author
Museum of Modern Art (New York, N.Y.)

Date
1930

Publisher
The Museum of Modern Art

Exhibition URL
www.moma.org/calendar/exhibitions/1766

The Museum of Modern Art’s exhibition history—from our founding in 1929 to the present—is available online. It includes exhibition catalogues, primary documents, installation views, and an index of participating artists.
MUSEUM OF MODERN ART
WILHELM LEHMBRUCK
ARISTIDE MAILLOL

EXHIBITION OF
SCULPTURE

730 FIFTH AVENUE • NEW YORK
ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The exhibition has been selected from the following collections

MR. STEPHEN C. CLARK, NEW YORK
MR. JOHN A. DUNBAR, NEW YORK
MR. A. CONGER GOODYEAR, NEW YORK
MRS. EDITH GREGOR HALPERT, NEW YORK
DR. F. H. HIRSCHLAND, NEW YORK
MRS. CHARLES J. LIEBMAN, NEW YORK
MRS. JOHN D. ROCKEFELLER, JR., NEW YORK
MR. MAURICE L. STONE, NEW YORK
MR. CARL ZIGROSSER, NEW YORK
THE WEYHE GALLERY, NEW YORK
ALBRIGHT ART GALLERY, BUFFALO
THE BROOKLYN MUSEUM
THE DETROIT INSTITUTE OF ARTS
THE HILLYER ART GALLERY, SMITH COLLEGE, NORTHAMPTON, MASSACHUSETTS
THE METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART, NEW YORK

TRUSTEES

A. CONGER GOODYEAR, PRESIDENT
MISS L. P. BLISS, VICE-PRESIDENT
MRS. JOHN D. ROCKEFELLER, JR., TREASURER
FRANK CROWNINSHIELD, SECRETARY
WILLIAM T. ALDRICH
FREDERIC C. BARTLETT
STEPHEN C. CLARK

MRS. W. MURRAY CRANE
CHESTER DALE
SAMUEL A. LEWISOHN
DUNCAN PHILLIPS
MRS. RAINEY ROGERS
PAUL J. SACHS
MRS. CORNELIUS J. SULLIVAN

ALFRED H. BARR, JR., DIRECTOR
JERE ABBOTT, ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR
INTRODUCTION

There are few works of sculpture of the twentieth century that show a more distinctly different approach to the problem of form than those of Wilhelm Lehmbruck and Aristide Maillol. The difference, in part, is racial but it is more fundamentally allied to the traditional juxtaposition of north to south—of the Gothic to the Classical. Yet to call Maillol merely an imitator of the Greek or Lehmbruck a copyist of Gothic saints is only to minimize their work. For the difference in their sculpture lies more directly in our subjective reaction to form used in two highly diverse ways. With Maillol it is primarily a means of expressing physical action—with Lehmbruck it is an accessory to a spiritual mood. For Maillol it is a means of expressing a controlled and organized realism—for Lehmbruck an aid in defining a ”mental” rather than a physical state of an object.

Among the men of his time Maillol stands supreme in his ability to convey a sense of form and its component, physical movement. This, it would seem, depends less on his ability to model, great as it is, or upon his sense of a curvalinear continuity in well-expressed bodily shapes, than upon his feeling of what we may call for lack of a better term ”continued motion.” We may explain it as the subtle description of the position of an object so indicated that we feel it as having arrived at the point at which we view it from a former position and as about to continue to its completed movement cycle and a stable condition of equilibrium. There is thus definitely involved in looking at a striding Maillol torso a time element. It is as if it were temporarily held stationary, snapped in that brief second by the shutter of a camera—a pause in a continued movement. One finds, therefore, activity in repose in Maillol. This subtle implication of “the next move” accounts for much of the power in his work to retain vitality—we are always expectant, and it unconsciously marks the important difference between the ”posed” statue and the ”active” statue. It is not to be implied that there is no repose in Maillol’s work. It is, however, a repose capable of action in a subsequent moment.

In his sense of a component balance of structural forces in the building up of form Maillol follows closely the Greek ideal. One form flows into another eliminating angularity, and a counterbalance is contrived which borders upon instability (potential action) yet frequently without directly indicating action. But the Greek ideal of the norm is, as one would suspect, entirely lacking.
It becomes apparent from this very summary discussion of Maillol’s style that the ability to create a mood is almost non-existent in his work. Thus Lehmbruck’s departure from realism in physical form suggests at once his preoccupation with the spiritual.

The Gothic craftsmen of the north, impressed with the importance of spiritual preparation for the world to come, felt in the repression of the physical a simple indication of religious fervor. Greco in Spain terminates a long line of artists who recognized the aesthetic value of this means—this strange attenuation, in subjectively influencing those who stood pondering their painting. The departure then from a physical reality fixes at once more attention to compositional arrangement as such. For this reason, partly, there is less of Maillol’s physical dynamic quality in Lehmbruck’s work and more of “design.” The structural feeling alters. It is less influenced by classical tradition. It becomes more structurally suggestive of a form rather than appearing in the reality of the form itself. There is, accordingly, in Lehmbruck’s sculpture more of the quality of an action relative to a mental state—a moving tenseness born of the man himself, for the nervous tensity of a life that ended in tragedy goes into Lehmbruck’s work.

Lehmbruck is little interested in the physical—the fine movement of a swinging stride or the downward circle of an arm. The physical for him is a resultant of the mental. Action may be the frenzy of despair—repose, the indication of a weary soul or again it may hinge more abstractly upon pure design, but there must always be for him in his sculpture something which commands, “think!”—not of it but with it. Something which evades us but holds us, a strange rapport between a thing lifeless, yet knowing, and ourselves.

J. A.
CHRONOLOGY

WILHELM LEHMBrUCK
  1881  Born at Duisburg-Meiderich, Germany
  1895–99  Studied at Art School
  1901–09  Studied in the Academy at Düsseldorf
  1910–14  Worked in Paris
          1919  Died

ARISTIDE MAILLOL
  1861  Born at Banyuls (Roussillon) in the Pyrenees
  1900  In his fortieth year commenced his work in sculpture.
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SCULPTURE BY LEHM BRUCK

*1 STANDING WOMAN
Bronze, height 76 inches
Private Collection, New York
Lent through the courtesy of The Brooklyn Museum

*2 HEAD OF A YOUNG WOMAN
Terra Cotta, height 20 inches
Collection Albright Art Gallery, Buffalo

3 STANDING FEMALE FIGURE
Terra Cotta, height 20¾ inches
Collection Detroit Institute of Arts

*4 HEAD OF A MAN
Terra Cotta, height 21 inches
Private Collection, New York

*5 FIGURE OF A WOMAN
Composition, height 36 inches
Collection Dr. F. H. Hirschland, New York

*6 FIGURE OF A WOMAN
Composition, height 28 inches
Private Collection, New York

*7 TORSO OF A WOMAN
Composition, height 40 inches
Collection Hillyer Art Gallery, Smith College, Northampton, Massachusetts

8 WOMAN LOOKING BACK
Terra Cotta, height 36½ inches
Collection The Weyhe Gallery, New York
SCULPTURE BY MAILLOL

*9 TORSO OF A YOUNG WOMAN
   Bronze, height 43 inches
   Collection Museum of Modern Art, New York
   Gift of A. Conger Goodyear

*10 DESIRE
   Plaster relief, 47 x 45 inches
   Lent by the Sculptor

11 SUMMER
   Plaster, height 64 inches
   Lent by the Sculptor

*12 SPRING
   Plaster, height 58 inches
   Lent by the Sculptor

*13 TORSO OF "CHAINED ACTION"
   Bronze, height 46 inches
   Collection Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York

*14 TORSO OF A WOMAN
   Bronze, height 34 inches
   Collection Maurice L. Stone, New York

15 HEAD OF THE PAINTER RENOIR
   Bronze, height 16 inches
   Collection John A. Dunbar, New York

*16 HEAD OF A GIRL
   Bronze, height 13 inches
   Collection Mrs. Charles J. Liebman, New York

17 VENUS
   Bronze, height 69 inches
   Collection John A. Dunbar, New York

18 GRIEF
   Wax, height 6 inches
   Private Collection, New York

19 TORSO OF A WOMAN
   Terra Cotta, height 6½ inches
   Private Collection, Buffalo
20 HEAD OF VENUS
Bronze, height 16 3/4 inches
Collection Detroit Institute of Arts

21 RECLINING NUDE
Terra Cotta, length 9 1/4 inches
Private Collection, New York

22 STUDY FOR "HOMAGE À CÉZANNE"
Terra Cotta, length 11 3/4 inches
Private Collection, New York

23 REVERY
Terra Cotta, length 6 inches
Private Collection, New York

24 FIGURE WITH DRAPERY
Plaster, height 10 3/4 inches
Private Collection, New York

25 STANDING FIGURE
Plaster, height 14 3/4 inches
Private Collection, New York

26 TORSO OF A WOMAN
Terra Cotta, height 6 inches
Private Collection, New York

27 STANDING DRAPE FIGURE
Plaster, height 21 3/4 inches
Private Collection, New York
LEHMBRUCK I

Standing Woman. Bronze, height 76 inches
Private Collection, New York
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Head of a Man
Terra cotta, height 21 inches
Private Collection, New York
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Figure of a Woman
Composition, height 28 inches
Private Collection, New York
LEHMBRUCK
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Composition, height 40 inches
Collection Hillyer Art Gallery, Smith College, Northampton, Massachusetts
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Collection Museum of Modern Art, New York
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Desire
Plaster relief, 47 x 45 inches
Lent by the Sculptor
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Spring

Plaster, height 58 inches
Lent by the Sculptor
MAILLOL

TORSO OF "CHAINED ACTION"
Bronze, height 46 inches
Collection Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York
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TORSO OF A WOMAN
Bronze, height 34 inches
Collection Maurice L. Stone, New York
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Bronze, height 13 inches
Collection Mrs. Charles J. Liebman, New York
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